

1
2
3
4
5
6 JS-6
7
8
9
10
11 EKATERINA ZHULIABINA,) Case No. CV 25-3927-FMO (Ex)
12 Plaintiff,)
13 v.) **ORDER RE: DISMISSAL WITHOUT
14 JOSEPH B. EDLOW, et al.,) PREJUDICE
15 Defendants.)
16 _____)
17
18 The court has reviewed and considered the parties' Joint Stipulation to Stay Case Pending
19 Adjudication of Application ("Stipulation"). The parties request a stay of the action in the interest
20 of judicial economy, noting that plaintiff will voluntarily dismiss this case upon receipt of the asylum
21 decision. In the court's experience with numerous similar requests in recent mandamus actions
22 challenging similar delays, the setting of an agreed interview date generally leads to the resolution
23 of the parties' dispute without the need for further intervention by the court. However, staying
24 cases requires the continued commitment of judicial resources to monitoring the cases and
25 ensuring compliance with requirements to file status reports. It also increases the burden on the
parties to file status reports or seek dismissal of the stayed case upon resolution of the matter.
26
27 It appears that the more efficient approach is to dismiss this action without prejudice to
either party moving to reopen nunc pro tunc in the event that further court intervention becomes
necessary. The court perceives no practical difference between this approach and the relief
28**

1 stipulated by the parties, apart from eliminating the need for future monitoring or action if the
2 parties honor their agreement, as the court expects them to.

3 Based on the foregoing, IT IS ORDERED THAT this action is **dismissed without**
4 **prejudice** to any party seeking to vacate this Order and reopen the action nunc pro tunc in the
5 event that plaintiff is unable to receive a determination in the time contemplated by the parties.

6 Dated this 26th day of August, 2025.

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

/s/
Fernando M. Olguin
United States District Judge